
Subject: Re: Waster CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?

Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 05:01:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

from those days also had a reunion and played for the reunion dance. :) But it was also just fun seeing who changed, who didn't, what they were doing for a living, etc. And it was pleasantly strange to find myself to interested in everyone, because in high school I was pretty much a misanthropic recluse outside of our band gigs.

The nightly thunderstorms were fun, too. (June in eastern Montana).

S

"DC" <dc@spammersinhell.com> wrote in message news:478f4bb7\$1@linux...

>

> I ask because the high school I once went to has an alumni assoc. and
> they are asking me to register and get in contact, come to reunions, that
> sort of thing...

>

> I went to their webpage and it seemed kind of sad. A couple of cool
> guys are dead and all the hot girls are, well, ummmmm different.

>

> Oh, and all the wonderful teachers I knew are dead...

>

> So, it seems to me that these so

Subject: Re: Waster CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?

Posted by [DJ](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 05:09:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

rts of things are a good way to feel

> silly, guilty, mildly retarded, and depressed, all in the same day...

>

> I'm thinking of passing...

>

> Ever been to one?

>

> DCyeah but they'll probably have to beef up the cases to protect the
thinner laptops. i'm not being negative here on the product i'd
rather see the innards improved and bugs ironed out.

On 18 Jan 2008 07:26:06 +1000, "James McCloskey"

<excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>"Neil" <IOIO@OI.com> wrote:
>>
>>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>Another indicator that this was a bad move is Apple's stock price, it's
>>dropped
>>>\$35.00 to 40.00 a share. Hopefully they get the message.
>>
>>I think Steve might be running out of ideas... this is nothing
>>revo

Subject: OT: Waster CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 05:50:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t in contact, come to reunions, that
>sort of thing...
>
>I went to their webpage and it seemed kind of sad. A couple of cool
>guys are dead and all the hot girls are, well, ummmmm different.
>
>Oh, and all the wonderful teachers I knew are dead...
>
>So, it seems to me that these sorts of things are a good way to feel
>silly, guilty, mildly retarded, and depressed, all in the same day...
>
>I'm thinking of passing...
>
>Ever been to one?
>
>DCAs in Tascam Porta Studio... Yes. You're exactly right.

Gantt

"Neil" <IOUOIU@OIU.com&

Subject: Re: OT: Wasted CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 05:52:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

gt; wrote:
>
>Four-track cassettes, however, also play in just one direction,
>so yeah, tails-out is still a good idea there.

>
>Neil
>
>
>NEIL "Gantt Kushner" <ganttmann@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>Hi Zan,
>>
>>Half track tapes only play in one direction so storing them tails out (hopefully)
>>keeps print-thru buried in the music. Cassettes are quarter-track and
play
>>in both directions so I don't tails out probably won't make a difference.
>>
>>Gantt
>>
>>"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:
>&g

Subject: Re: OT: Waster CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [Kim](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 07:59:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

you did Sarah.

DCThe best orchestra, choir, brass band, etc mic pre on the planet.

Sweet, natural and uncolored. Totally uncolored.

In a pop or jazz setting they work well on acoustic guitar, drum
overheads, kick, and piano and anywhere you want natural sound.

If you want any tone to be added by the mic pre, this is not for you.
If you want to hear your mics for the first time, you will love it.

DC

"zmora" <docent191@wp.pl> wrote:

>
>What about this preamp?No exist in Poland, no import/export.
>I see this unit only in magazines , never heard...Thanks..they are recorded on one side only...I
have tranferred most of them
to digital...I'll just fast-forward them to the end of the side...just
curious,never thought of that scenario...
"Kim W." <no@way.com> wrote in message news:4790912e\$1@linux...
>
>but you probably have already done that.

> Scrap that... it was a stupid suggestion.
>
> "Kim W." <no@way.com> wrote:
> >
> >Why not transfer them to Patis, or whatever, whilst the transport
> >in your machine is still working. Trust me... there will come
> >a time when it won't.
> >Kim
> >
> >"tonehouse" <zmcleod@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>I always try to store my old reel to reel tapes tails out, to help
> >>print-through. Should I do the same with a cassette tape? I have a few
> old

Subject: Re: OT: Waster CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [DJ](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 09:21:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

e top of my wishlist was a true sub-notebook. Something
I could throw in a portfolio or briefcase for short hops."

Since he's not complaining about a lack of ports, I don't know why the
Air doesn't fulfill that role for him. Maybe he's too cool to buy the
base version with the hard drive.

He did like the movie rentals, which I think may be the most important
announcement of MacWorld for future impact on Apple's growth. He did
like the Time Capsule, which is at least interesting. He hates the
iPhone and AT&T, so he'll just have to keep complaining about that until
their exclusive relationship ends.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

Neil wrote:

> A great read once again from Mr. Palmer...
>
>
> <http://www.jackmyers.com/commentary/shelly-palmer-report/13865472.html>
>
>
> Shelly's complaints, however generalized, pretty much echo that of every PC
user who's passed on going the Mac route:
There is one price point with Apple Macs: \$2000 and up. Sure, more computer

is more computer, but most people just don't need the bleeding edge for \$2-4k to email Aunt Sally and store the family photo album.

The MacBook, feels cheap, and while it has good specs and isn't really out of line with a comparable PC laptop, it sits alone in it's sub \$2k price point in the Apple lineup. My laptop cost \$750 and has comparable specs to the Macbook, but with more I/O (it's closer to the Macbook pro in feature set - not psyched about Vista on it, but I can't deny it gets the same job done for a lot less money).

The same is true

Subject: Re: OT: Waster CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [Kim](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 11:06:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

of desktops. So his point is based on his opening comments about being enamoured with all things Apple. Sure, he could have gone cheaper, but money is hardly a concern for Shelly considering his success - it's just a reference point that he could have spent 1/3 of what he did and accomplished the same amount of work, but being enamoured with Apple, he goes for the gold instead. In other words, he's a victim of clever marketing - getting you to buy way more than you need or want.

When you have the option to pay \$1000 or less and get the same job done (depending on what job you are trying to accomplish of course), vs. going with a brand mainly to be brand loyal and hip while having no choice under \$2-4k or more, how else do you evaluate the two options?

His comparison may not be technically fair on the Air, but he is right - when it comes down to getting the job done, any advantage to Air vs a regular laptop fade away pretty quickly, and you are left with a much smaller drive for a lot more money.

Regards,
Dedric

"Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:4790ddca\$1@linux...

>

> A good read but not a great analysis. He phoned it in.

>

> replaced by \$1,500 Windows kit."

>

> If price were the issue, he would have paid a lot less for the MacBook Pro

> and added 3rd party RAM at considerable savings. So he blows his
> credibility right off the top with that exaggerated comparison.
>
>
>
> "It costs \$1,799 for the base model. But, set up the way anybody who needs

>
> Hypberbole. He's blaming Apple for the cost of a solid state drive. This
> makes no sense. Notice that the price he complains about

Subject: Re: OT: Waster CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [Kim](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 11:48:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

\$169.04 the day after

>
> The article I linked the other day showed that Apple shares usually go
> down after the keynote. Also, the entire market took a hit on Wednesday
> for issues not directly related to a speech by Steve Jobs. :^)
>
>
>
> "I really wanted to see something really great and useful from Apple this
> week. On the top of my wishlist was a true sub-notebook. Something I could
> throw in a portfolio or briefcase for short hops."
>
> Since he's not complaining about a lack of ports, I don't know why the Air
> doesn't fulfill that role for him. Maybe he's too cool to buy the base
> version with the hard drive.
>
> He did like the movie rentals, which I think may be the most important
> announcement of MacWorld for future impact on Apple's growth. He did like
> the Time Capsule, which is at least interesting. He hates the iPhone and
> AT&T, so he'll just have to keep complaining about that until their
> exclusive relationship ends.
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
>
> Neil wrote:
>> A great read once again from Mr. Palmer...

>>

>>

>> <http://www.jackmyers.com/commentary/shelly-palmer-report/13865472.html>

>>

>>Dedric Terry wrote:

- > Shelly's complaints, however generalized, pretty much echo that of every
- > PC user who's passed on going the Mac route:
- > There is one price point with Apple Macs: \$2000 and up. Sure, more
- > computer is more computer, but most people just don't need
- > the bleeding edge for \$2-4k to email Aunt Sally and store the family
- > photo album.

I don't think that's what he's saying. The box he's complaining about is under \$2K (configured the way most people who care about price would buy it). Just because he stupidly overpaid for his Mac doesn't mean others will. But if that's your complaint, there are Macs for less than \$2k.

If the general complaint about Apple is price, then it should be noted that the new desktop boxes are less expensive than Dell's, and the new super light notebook is less expensive than Sony's (and under 2K in the standard configuration).

- > The MacBook, feels cheap, and while it has good specs and isn't really
- > out of line with a comparable PC laptop, it sits alone in it's sub \$2k
- > price point in the Apple lineup.

Other than the Mac Mini and iMac. Oh, you mean laptops. Yes, except for the low end MacBook Pro and the new MacBook Air, sans flash drive. As for "feeling cheap," the MacBook does have a plastic case. But my wife has one (G4 version); it seems reasonably rugged and has held up well. It also gets better wireless reception than my metal-clad Powerbook.

- > My laptop cost \$750 and has comparable
- > specs to the Macbook, but with more I/O (it's closer to the Macbook pro
- > in feature set - not psyched about Vista on it, but I can't deny it gets
- > the same job done for a lot less money).

It's good to have choices. Apple is only one choice. If another choice is better for you, by all means buy it. If everyone bought a Mac, Apple would be insufferable. :^)

Competition is good. On the flip side, if Apple weren't pushing the envelope, the other companies building commodity MSWindows boxes would be less motivated to give you stuff you like. So keep buying what moves you, be it Apple or someone else.

> The same is true of desktops. So his point is based on his opening
> comments

Subject: Re: OT: Waster CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [Dedric Terry](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 15:09:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

/>
desktop, but I still come back to the laptop I'm typing this on, costing significantly less than the least expensive Macbook (current) and only a slightly slower cpu (since it's a model from early last year), for \$400 less than the comparable Macbook, but otherwise, pretty much identical (it does have a partially aluminum case, and feels better than the Macbooks I've tried).

There has to be a reason Apple only has a minority share of the personal computer market... ;-)

I wasn't trying to knock Apple, and I'm not attached to my PCs (other than the significant investment in software that is costly to replace or crossgrade) - just saying that imho, Shelly's reasoning is based on the fact that he's enamoured with the chique (sp?) of Apple, rather than just getting what he needs, and that without a mystical, glorious Keynote unveiling of trend setting products, that chique doesn't last long. Imho, that chique is hurting consumers as it keeps Apple's product prices higher, wi

Subject: Re: OT: Waster CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [EK Sound](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 16:52:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

need for an adapter to use ethernet, but he didn't.

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> seem to like it at all. Apple shares were down \$9.74 to \$169.04 the day

>>>

>>> The article I linked the other day showed that Apple shares usually go
>>> down after the keynote. Also, the entire market took a hit on Wednesday
>>> for issues not directly related to a speech by Steve Jobs. :^)

>>>

>>>

>>>
>>> "I really wanted to see something really great and useful from Apple
>>> this week. On the top of my wishlist was a true sub-notebook. Something
>>> I could throw in a portfolio or briefcase for short hops."
>>>
>>> Since he's not complaining about a lack of ports, I don't know why

Subject: Re: OT: Wasted CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [EK Sound](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 16:53:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the
>>> Air doesn't fulfill that role for him. Maybe he's too cool to buy the
>>> base version with the hard drive.
>>>
>>> He did like the movie rentals, which I think may be the most important
>>> announcement of MacWorld for future impact on Apple's growth. He did
>>> like the Time Capsule, which is at least interesting. He hates the
>>> iPhone and AT&T, so he'll just have to keep complaining about that until
>>> their exclusive relationship ends.
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKrutz.com
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Neil wrote:
>>>> A great read once again from Mr. Palmer...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> http://www.jackmyers.com/commentary/shelly-palmer-report/138_65472.html
>>>>
>>>>Saw tha

Subject: Re: OT: Waster CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 17:40:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

envelope, the other companies building commodity MSWindows boxes would be
> less motivated to give you stuff you like. So keep buying what moves you,
> be it Apple or someone else.
>
>
>> The same is true of desktops. So his point is based on his opening

>> comments about being enamoured with all things Apple.
>> Sure, he could have gone cheaper, but money is hardly a concern for
>> Shelly considering his success - it's just a reference point
>> that he could have spent 1/3 of what he did and accomplished the same
>> amount of work, but being enamoured with Apple, he goes for the gold
>> instead. In other words, he's a victim of clever marketing - getting you
>> to buy way more than you need or want.
>
> I can't speak for him, but I didn't buy way more than I need or want, and
> I have a Mac.
>
>
>> When you have the option to pay \$1000 or less and get the same job done
>> (depending on what job you are trying to accomplish of course), vs. going
>> with a brand mainly to be brand loyal and hip while having no choice
>> under \$2-4k or more, how else do you evaluate the two options?
>
> If you're implying that the only reason people buy Macs is to be "brand
> loyal and hip," you're wrong. That does

Subject: Re: OT: Waster CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?

Posted by [Neil](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 17:42:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

n't apply to most of the Mac users

> I know, who own Macs to get work done and chose them as the preferred tool
> given the options. Frankly, I would rather be using BeOS but that choice
> was torpedoed.

>
>

>> His comparison may not be technically fair on the Air, but he is right -
>> when it comes down to getting the job done, any advantage to Air vs a
>> regular laptop fade away pretty quickly, and you are left with a much
>> smaller drive for a lot more money.

>

> Obviously the Air made compromises in order to be thin and light. This
> will only be a benefit for those to whom thin and light is a compelling
> enough advantage to outweigh (so to speak) any down side. :^)

>

> It's a narrowly aimed product, and I'm not surprised that people outside
> of the target market miss the point. I think he phoned it in because he
> complained about non-Air-specific-issues, blatantly exaggerating to do so,
> while missing real issues with the Air.

>

> Cheers,

> -Jamie

> www.JamieKrutz.com

>
>
>
>> Regards,
>> Dedric
>>
>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>> news:4790ddca\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> A good read but not a great analysis. He phoned it in.
>>>

>>> be replaced by \$1,500 Windows kit."
>>>
>>> If price were the issue, he would have paid a lot less for the MacBook
>>> Pro and added 3rd party RAM at considerable savings. So he blows his
>>> credibility right off the top with that exaggerated comparison.
>>>
>&

Subject: Re: OT: Wasted CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [LaMont](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 18:24:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t.. That one patch was "Epic". That's great news about The Euphonix
MC/Control..

"Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>
>
>For the other synth geeks like me, Spectrasonics announced their new =
>synth - Omnisphere,
>based on a new engine (STEAM - replacing the UVI engine that have used =
>in Atmosphere, etc).
>
>Sounds like it will be very cool based on the short teaser, but it's a =
>ways off: Sept 15.
>
>Euphonix:
>Also word is PC support for the MC Control/Mix may be April. The =
>Control should be shipping in March,
>so not too far off.
>
>Roland also bought a major share of Cakewalk.
>
>Dedric
>

```
><!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
><HTML><HEAD>
><META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html; =
>charset=3Diso-8859-1">
><META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.6000.16587" name=3DGENERATOR>
><STYLE></STYLE>
><
```

Subject: Re: OT: Wasted CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [DJ](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 20:08:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

what he needs, and that without a mystical, glorious
> Keynote unveiling of trend setting products, that chique doesn't last
> long.

Poor guy. But his claims went beyond that. I zinged him for his hyperbole.

> Imho, that chique is hurting consumers as it keeps Apple's
> product prices higher, with less range of price point competition, but
> for Apple customers, price doesn't seem to be a concern.

Maybe for some, but not overall. Apple is competing on features and
implementation. And even, lately, on price.

> Basically App

Subject: Re: OT: Waster CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [rick](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 20:17:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

le has its' customers right where it wants them. Imho, it should be
> the other way around, and then we would truly have more choices.

Choice is good. Competition is good.

Apple no more has its customers where it wants them than Microsoft has
theirs well thumbd over. For example you yourself are trapped in
Microsoftland by your committed investments there.

> re: BeOS.... same here.

Yep. Sigh... Yet another reason to mistrust Apple, to an extent, and Microsoft to a much larger extent.

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

> Dedric
>
> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:4790eeca@linux...
>> Dedric Terry wrote:
>>> Shelly's complaints, however generalized, pretty much echo that of
>>> every PC user who's passed on going the Mac route:
>>> There is one price point with Apple Macs: \$2000 and up. Sure, more
>>> computer is more computer, but most people just don't need
>>> the bleeding edge for \$2-4k to email Aunt Sally and store the family
>>> photo album.
>>
>> I don't think that's what he's saying. The box he's complaining about
>> _is_ under \$2K (configured the way most people who care about price
>> would buy it). Just because he stupidly overpaid for his Mac doesn't
>> mean others will. But if that's your complaint, there are Macs for
>> less than \$2k.
>>
>> If the general complaint about Apple is price, then it should be noted
>> that the new desktop boxes are less expensive than Dell's, and the new
>> super light notebook is less expensive than Sony's (and under 2K in
>> the standard configuration).
>>
>>
>>> The MacBook, feels cheap, and while it has good specs and isn't
>>> really out of line with a comparable PC laptop, it sits alone in it's
>>> sub \$2k price point in the Apple lineup.
>>
>> Other than the Mac Mini and iMac. Oh, you mean laptops. Yes, except
>> for the low end MacBook Pro and the new MacBook Air, san

Subject: Re: OT: Wasted CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [Chris Ludwig](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 21:11:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

street price to add that drive.
>>>>
>>>> If price is the issue, it's too soon to buy a 54GB solid state drive
>>>> on ANY laptop, not just Apple's. Also, he adds the SSD to the

>>>> MacBook Air and then compares that configuration to laptops without
>>>> an SSD. If that's the comparison, then he should compare the \$1700
>>>> non-SSD version of the Air to the other subnotebooks. And at that
>>>> point he has little point.

>>>>
>>>> He could have complained about the lack of firewire or grouched about
>>>> the need for an adapter to use ethernet, but he didn't.

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

>>>> seem to like it at all. Apple shares were down \$9.74 to \$169.04 the

>>>>
>>>> The article I linked the other day showed that Apple shares usually
>>>> go down after the keynote. Also, the entire market took a hit on
>>>> Wednesday for issues not directly related to a speech by Steve Jobs.
>>>> :^)

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "I really wanted to see something really great and useful from Apple
>>>> this week. On the top of my wishlist was a true sub-notebook.
>>>> Something I could throw in a portfolio or briefcase for short hops."
>>>>
>>>> Since he's not complaining about a lack of ports, I don't know why
>>>> the Air doesn't fulfill that role for him. Maybe he's too cool to
>>>> buy the base version with the hard drive.
>>>>
>>>> He did like the movie rentals, which I thi

Subject: Re: OT: Wasted CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [DJ](#) on Tue, 27 Nov 2007 21:40:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:4791026d@linux...
> Dedric Terry wrote:
>> I was actually just referring to the fact that with every Mac release,
>> there are three desktop models,
>> usually starting at \$2k and going up - this round, \$2799 is the starting
>> price, and only options for dual quad cores,
>> no range below that for people who don't need "blinding speed" to write
>> book reports ;-).
>
> Sure, but you don't need a tower to write book reports. A Mac Mini will do
> fine for under \$1k.
>

> It's entirely possible to criticize the Mac without hyperbole, ya know.
> :^)
>
>
>> There are 2 laptop model choices, ranging be

Subject: Re: OT: Waster CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 00:30:22 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

odels. In the PC world
>> there are choices in each category at pretty much every \$100 increment,
>
> Right, because there are more companies making hardware for MSWindows
> boxes. That's an advantage because there is more range of choice, and a
> disadvantage because they all have to dance to the MSWindows beat.
>
> Apple has fewer boxes but they control everything on those boxes. That's a
> disadvantage because of the fewer choices, and an advantage because there
> is less to go wrong or be incompatible, and if anything does goes wrong, a
> single company can fix it.
>
>
>> starting at \$300 and going up to \$10k+. Not that I would buy a \$300
>> desktop, but I still come back to the laptop I'm typing this on, costing
>> significantly less than the least expensive Macbook (current) and only a
>> slightly slower cpu (since it's a model from early last year), for \$400
>> less than the comparable Macbook, but otherwise, pretty much identical
>> (it does have a partially aluminum case, and feels better than the
>> Macbooks I've tried).
>
> Sounds like you like your computer. Great!
>
>
>> There has to be a reason Apple only has a minority share of the personal
>> computer market... ;-)
>
> There are many reasons. It would take too long to recount the history
> here.
>
>
>> I wasn't trying to knock Apple, and I'm not attached to my PCs (other
>> than the significant investment in software that is costly to replace or
>> crossgrade) - just saying that imho, Shelly's reasoning is based on the
>> fact that he's enamoured with the chique (sp?) of Apple, rather than just
>> getting what he needs, and that without a mystical, glorious Keynote
>> unveiling of trend setting products, that chique doesn't last long.

>
> Poor guy. But his claims went beyond that. I zinged him for his hyperbole.
>
>
>> Imho, that chique is hurting consumers as it keeps Apple's product prices
>> higher, with less range of price point competition, but for Apple
>> customers, price doesn't seem to be a concern.
>
> Maybe for some, but not overall. Apple is competing on features and
> implementation. And even, lately, on price.
>
>
>> Basically Apple has its' customers right where it wants them. Imho, it
>> should be the other way around, and then we would truly have more
>> choices.
>
> Choice is good. Competition is good.
>
> Apple no more has its customers where it wants them than Microsoft has
> theirs well thumbled over. For example you yourself are trapped in
> Microsoftland by your committed investments there.
>
>
>> re: BeOS.... same here.
>
> Yep. Sigh... Yet another reason to mistrust Apple, to an extent, and
> Microsoft to a much larger extent.
>
> Cheers,
> -Jamie
> www.JamieKrutz.com
>
>
>
>> Dedric
>>
>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message news:4790eeca@linux...
>>> Dedric Terry wrote:
>>>> Shelly's complaints, however generalized, pretty much echo that of
>>>> every PC user who's passed on going the Mac route:
>>>> There is one price point with Apple Macs: \$2000 and up. Sure, more
>>>> computer is more computer, but most people just don't need
>>>> the bleeding edge for \$2-4k to email Aunt Sally and store the family
>>>> photo album.
>>>
>>> I don't think that's what he's saying. The box he's complaining about
>>> _is_ under \$2K (configured the way most people who care about price
>>> would buy it). Just because he stupidly overpaid for his Mac doesn't

>>> mean others will. But if that's your complaint, there are Macs for less
>>> than \$2k.
>>>
>>> If the general complaint about Apple is price, then it should be noted
>>> that the new desktop boxes are less expensive than Dell's, and the new
>>> super light notebook is less expensive than Sony's (and under 2K in the
>>> standard configuration).
>>>
>>>
>>>> The MacBook, feels cheap, and while it has good specs and isn't really
>>>> out of line with a comparable PC laptop, it sits alone in it's sub \$2

Subject: Re: OT: Wasted CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [TCB](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 00:38:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

k
>>>> price point in the Apple lineup.
>>>
>>> Other than the Mac Mini and iMac. Oh, you mean laptops. Yes, except for
>>> the low end MacBook Pro and the new MacBook Air, sans flash drive. As
>>> for "feeling cheap," the MacBook does have a plastic case. But my wife
>>> has one (G4 version); it seems reasonably rugged and has held up well.
>>> It also gets better wireless reception than my metal-clad Powerbook.
>>>
>>>
>>>> My laptop cost \$750 and has comparable specs to the Macbook, but with
>>>> more I/O (it's closer to the Macbook pro in feature set - not psyched
>>>> about Vista on it, but I can't deny it gets the same job done for a lot
>>>> less money).
>>>
>>> It's good to have choices. Apple is only one choice. If another choice
>>> is better for you, by all means buy it. If everyone bought a Mac, Apple
>>> would be insufferable. :^)
>>>
>>> Competition is good. On the flip side, if Apple weren't pushing the
>>> envelope, the other companies building commodity MSWindows boxes would
>>> be less motivated to give you stuff you like. So keep buying what moves
>>> you, be it Apple or someone else.
>>>
>>>
>>>> The same is true of desktops. So his point is based on his opening
>>>> comments about being enamoured with all things Apple.
>>>> Sure, he could have gone cheaper, but money is hardly a concern for
>>>> Shelly considering his success - it's just a reference point
>>>> that he could have spent 1/3 of what he did and accomplished the same
>>>>

Subject: Re: OT: Waster CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [Neil](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 00:53:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;> amount of work, but being enamoured with Apple, he goes for the gold
>>>> instead. In other words, he's a victim of clever marketing - getting
>>>> you to buy way more than you need or want.
>>>
>>> I can't speak for him, but I didn't buy way more than I need or want,
>>> and I have a Mac.
>>>
>>>
>>>> When you have the option to pay \$1000 or less and get the same job done
>>>> (depending on what job you are trying to accomplish of course), vs.
>>>> going with a brand mainly to be brand loyal and hip while having no
>

Subject: Re: OT: Waster CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [Kim](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 01:08:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;>>> choice under \$2-4k or more, how else do you evaluate the two options?
>>>
>>> If you're implying that the only reason people buy Macs is to be "brand
>>> loyal and hip," you're wrong. That doesn't apply to most of the Mac
>>> users I know, who own Macs to get work done and chose them as the
>>> preferred tool given the options. Frankly, I would rather be using BeOS
>>> but that choice was torpedoed.
>>>
>>>
>>>> His comparison may not be technically fair on the Air, but he is
>>>> right - when it comes down to getting the job done, any advantage to
>>>> Air vs a regular laptop fade away pretty quickly, and you are left with
>>>> a much smaller drive for a lot more money.
>>>
>>> Obviously the Air made compromises in order to be thin and light. This
>>> will only be a benefit for those to whom thin and light is a compelling
>>> enough advantage to outweigh (so to speak) any down side. :^)
>>>
>>> It's a narrowly aimed product, and I'm not surprised that people outside
>>> of the target market miss the point. I think he phoned it in because he
>>> complained about non-Air-specific-issues, blatantly exaggerating to do
>>> so, while missing real issues with the Air.
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> -Jamie
>>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> Dedric
>>>>
>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:4790ddca\$1@linux...
>>>>>
>>>>> A good read but not a great analysis. He phoned it in.
>>>>>

>>>>> be replaced by \$1,500 Windows kit."
>>>>>
>>>>> If price were the issue, he would have paid a lot less for the MacBook
>>>>> Pro and added 3rd party RAM at considerable savings. So he blows his
>>>>> credibility right off the top with that exaggerated comparison.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "It costs \$1,799 for the base model. But, set up the way anybody who

>>>>> MacBook Pro."
>>>>>
>>>>> Hypberbole. He's blaming Apple for the cost of a solid state drive.
>>>>> This makes no sense. Notice that the price he complains about is the
>>>>> price WITH the flash drive option. Yet Apple actually charges less
>>>>> than street price to add that drive.
>>>>>
>>>>> If price is the issue, it's too soon to buy a 54GB solid state drive
>>>>> on ANY laptop, not just Apple's. Also, he adds the SSD to the MacBook
>>>>> Air and then compares that configuration to laptops without an SSD. If
>>>>> that's the comparison, then he should compare the \$1700 non-SSD
>>>>> version of the Air to the other subnotebooks. And at that point he has
>>>>> little point.
>>>>>
>>>>> He could have complained about the lack of firewire or grouched about
>>>>> the need for an adapter to use ethernet, but he didn't.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>

>>>>> seem to like it at all. Apple shares were down \$9.74 to \$169.04 the

>>>>>
>>>>> The article I linked the other day showed that Apple shares usually go
>>>>> down after the keynote. Also, the entire market took a hit on

>>>> Wednesday for issues not directly related to a speech by Steve Jobs.
>>>> :^)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "I really wanted to see something really great and useful from Apple
>>>> this week. On the top of my wishlist was a true sub-notebook.
>>>> Something I could throw in a portfolio or briefcase for short hops."
>>>>
>>>> Since he's not complaining about a lack of ports, I don't know why the
>>>> Air doesn't fulfill that role for him. Maybe he's too cool to buy the
>>>> base version with the hard drive.
>>>>
>>>> He did like the movie rentals, which I think may be the most important
>>>> announcement of MacWorld for future impact on Apple's growth. He did
>>>> like the Time Capsule, which is at least interesting. He hates the
>>>> iPhone and AT&T, so

Subject: Re: OT: Wasted CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?
Posted by [Paul Artola](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 16:56:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) < > [Reply to Message](#)

't
>>>> really out of line with a comparable PC laptop, it sits alone in
>>>> it's sub \$2k price point in the Apple lineup.
>>>>
>>>> Other than the Mac Mini and iMac. Oh, you mean laptops. Yes, except
>>>> for the low end MacBook Pro and the new MacBook Air, sans flash
>>>> drive. As for "feeling cheap," the MacBook does have a plastic case.
>>>> But my wife has one (G4 version); it seems reasonably rugged and has
>>>> held up well. It also gets better wireless reception than my
>>>> metal-clad Powerbook.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> My laptop cost \$750 and has comparable specs to the Macbook, but
>>>> with more I/O (it's closer to the Macbook pro in feature set - not
>>>> psyched about Vista on it, but I can't deny it gets the same job
>>>> done for a lot less money).
>>>>
>>>> It's good to have choices. Apple is only one choice. If another
>>>> choice is better for you, by all means buy it. If everyone bought a
>>>> Mac, Apple would be insufferable. :^)
>>>>
>>>> Competition is good. On the flip side, if Apple weren't pushing the
>>>> envelope, the other companies building

Subject: Re: OT: Wasted CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?

Posted by [DJ](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 17:18:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

only reason people buy Macs is to be

>>>> "brand loyal and hip," you're wrong. That doesn't apply to most of
>>>> the Mac users I know, who own Macs to get work done and chose them
>>>> as the preferred tool given the options. Frankly, I would rather be
>>>> using BeOS but that choice was torpedoed.

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>> His comparison may not be technically fair on the Air, but he is
>>>>> right - when it comes down to getting the job done, any advantage
>>>>> to Air vs a regular laptop fade away pretty quickly, and you are
>>>>> left with a much smaller drive for a lot more money.

>>>>

>>>> Obviously the Air made compromises in order to be thin and light.
>>>> This will only be a benefit for those to whom thin and light is a
>>>> compelling enough advantage to outweigh (so to speak) any down side.
>>>> :^)

>>>>

>>>> It's a narrowly aimed product, and I'm not surprised that people
>>>> outside of the target market miss the point. I think he phoned it in
>>>> because he complained about non-Air-specific-issues, blatantly
>>>> exaggerating to do so, while missing real issues with the Air.

>>>>

>>>> Cheers,

>>>> -Jamie

>>>> www.JamieKrutz.com

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>> Regards,

>>>>> Dedric

>>>>>

>>>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message

>>>>> [news:4790ddca\\$1@linux...](mailto:news:4790ddca$1@linux...)

>>>>>>

>>>>>> A good re

Subject: Re: OT: Wasted CPU Cycles when tracking @ 44.1/48k?

Posted by [LaMontt](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2007 20:46:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

f iPhone users don't like AT&T.

Yes, a gamble by Apple to go big with one company instead of trying to

hit the wider market. It didn't make me switch either.

OTOH, maybe it was a way to ramp up production and hit the new market on favorable terms. The exclusive will be over after the two year (I think) period. By then maybe Apple will be better prepared to make necessary further agreements with more carriers, have more production capability, have proven the iPhone design and improved it, and will be ready to serve wider markets. I imagine the logistics of creating, manufacturing and marketing a new product like that is nothing to sneeze at.

> I thought we all agreed choice was a good thing? Was I wrong in that
> assumption?

No. While I can understand why Apple would choose to start out that way with the iPhone in a crowded new market, I am content to wait out the iPhone and see what happens down the line. Also, there are changes afoot with regulations, other competition, and available frequencies.

> I really never intended this to be a Mac/PC debate. It was just my
> interpretation of Shelly's comments,
> nothing more. Let's leave it there please.

No, wait, your mom wears army boots and can't cook onions worth beans!
Just wanted to get that in. ;^)

Cheers,
-Jamie
www.JamieKrutz.com

> Regards,
> Dedric
>
> "James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:47910acd\$1@linux...
>>
>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote:
>>> I was actually just referring to the fact that with every Mac
>>> release, there
>>
>>> are three desktop models,
>>> usually starting at \$2k and going up - this round, \$2799 is the starting
>>
>>> price, and only options for dual quad cores,
>>> no range below that for people who don't need "blinding speed" to write
>> bo
