
Subject: Quickest way to get from 24 to 16 bit
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Tue, 11 Jul 2006 23:06:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

eans you are Pro union and p

Subject: Re: Quickest way to get from 24 to 16 bit
Posted by [Neil](#) on Tue, 11 Jul 2006 23:59:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

roud of it..They
> >Michigan
> >> >> Dems are not weak, scared. Having Most them like my Dad and uncles
> have
> >> &g

Subject: Re: Quickest way to get from 24 to 16 bit
Posted by [cujo](#) on Wed, 12 Jul 2006 00:36:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t; >> >> to bogged down in a stupid so -called war..
> >> >> You say that's being minded and soft..I say, We are not drinking the
> >> >kool-aid..
> >> >> So, if the 33 percent of you get your kicks out of prepping for war,
> >then
> >> >> be my guess. Go out play war all you want. Stay on the "edge" ...
Wate

Subject: Re: Quickest way to get from 24 to 16 bit
Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Wed, 12 Jul 2006 03:01:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

t="_blank">dc@spammersinvenezuela.org> wrote:

>Really folks, this is pointless. C'mon LaMont, call Bush a few more
>names and declare victory and let's be done with it.

That sounds like the same kind of cease-fire that Israelis &
Hezbollah are having right now.

Neil
>
>DC
>"Neil" <OIUOIU@OIU.com> wrote:

>That sounds like the same kind of cease-fire that Israelis &
>Hezbollah are having right now.
>
>Neil

So who uses Paris and who uses ProTools?

Subject: Re: Quickest way to get from 24 to 16 bit
Posted by [Don Nafe](#) on Wed, 12 Jul 2006 04:11:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

target="_blank">animix_spam-this-ahole_@animas.net> wrote:
>>The reason I'm so disappointed in Clinton is that during his whole
>>administration, I was wanting him to do the things he needed to
>>do.....and I watched in fcinated horror as he fucked up again and again..
>>Even an insignificant, regular old citizen like me could see what needed
>to
>>be done and he couldn't????.....and I was right!!!!. It just blows
>me
>>away. I wanted to believe that I was missing something and that this Rhoades
>>scholar superdude/great national hope knew more than I did. Well, he turned
>>out to be the bitggest dumbass we've ever elected and his oversights brought
>>us to 911.
>>
>>"LaMont" <jjdpro@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:44e967d0\$1@linux...
>>>
>>> Clinton Clinton Clinton.. It seems DJ that you has now swallow the
>>"Kool-Aid"
>>> that the Neo-con right has g

Subject: Re: Quickest way to get from 24 to 16 bit
Posted by [Kim](#) on Wed, 12 Jul 2006 06:20:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

good enough argument
>>> to
>>> >> >debut
>>> >> >> the "Loose Change movie (documentary).
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >> I'm not a conpriracist, but I've never believed the US government
>>> >> >stories
>>> >> >> >> on 911. IMHO, we are dealing with a some really power hungry,
>>> >sadistic,

>>> >> >> >neoconservatives-conservatives
>>> >> >> >> in the white house and his fellow neo cons.
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >> They are evil to the core. And have, dragged this nation down
>to
>>> the
>>> >> >> >pits!!!..
>>> >> >> >> It's really a shame that we 2 years left with these evil
>>neo-cons.
>>> >> They
>>> >> >> are
>>> >> >> >> as anti American as the as the so-called terrorist. Impeachment
>>> >> >hearings
>>> >> >> >> should start immediately.!
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >&g

Subject: Re: Quickest way to get from 24 to 16 bit
Posted by [Rod Lincoln](#) on Thu, 13 Jul 2006 00:40:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ease the fears of the
>>> non-Christian community. For a non-Christian, any hint of Christianity may
>>> seem like too much, or even a threat, but to a longtime Christian, the
>>> deterioration of acceptance for our faith is sadly quite apparent, even on
>>> this forum.
>>>
>>> Let's be brutally honest here, how many people on this forum really don't
>>> mind a Christian sharing their views with conviction, and how many
>>> truthfully have a problem with it? I'm sure it is tolerable (at best) as
>>> long as it doesn't challenge or confront others' views (which by very
>>> nature, it will, otherwise it wouldn't be faith with any life-impacting
>>> substance). On the other hand, most religious threads here have rather
>>> strong opinions on the non-Christian side - often even claiming that
>>> Christianity is more violent than Islam, or that Christianity somehow is
>>> responsible for our country going to Iraq (incredibly far from the truth).
>>> Isn't that just one step away from Mel Gibson's drunken debacle of
>>> slandering Jews? I'm sure that may not be the intention, but consider the
>>> arguments here for equal rights and peace vs. the way Christianity is
>>> discussed. If we are truly tolerant of one another, we wouldn't need to
>>> ascribe violence and/or all of our country's, or the world's problems to the
>>> faith of someone we might chat with casually in other circumstances. I'm
>>> not asking this from a "victim" mentality in any form or fashion (far from
>>> it). I'm just raising the question out of genuine curiosity and broadening
>>> the perspectives here.
>>>
>>> For one societal example, in New

Subject: Re: Quickest way to get from 24 to 16 bit
Posted by [Kim](#) on Thu, 13 Jul 2006 00:46:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Orleans the ACLU is protesting (and
>>> probably filed suit) against people in one community for wanting to build a
>>> memorial to victims of Katrina - one that includes a cross. The land is
>>> private as is the funding, but as it is in public view, the ACLU is taking
>>> issue. If we exercise equality of "rights" would that not give me the right
>>> as a Christian to file suit against an adult nightclub for a billboard
>>> promoting topless dancing, with suggestive photos? No, that would violate
>>> the owner's right to free speech, and others' opinion of what is
>>> "suggestive" and whether that could "suggest" anything objectionable for
>>> anyone. What is the difference? A cross isn't going to tempt anyone to get
>>> drunk, spend money that should be going to support a family, or worst case,
>>> rape a woman (really worst case, not a statistical norm that I know of, at
>>> least hope not) - it is actually more likely to cause the exact opposite,
>>> but yet it is becoming a more common source of public outcry than crime
>>> rates or corporate corruption.
>>>
>>> My prediction is that Christian churches will start being targeted by the
>>> ACLU and other "rights" groups not wanting structures of any kind that
>>> promote Christianity in public view. And it wouldn't be much of a leap from
>>> there to having something as simple as praying over one's meal in public
>>> become grounds for dismissal from a restaurant, if not legal or criminal
>>> action. Sure, that's extrapolation, but that may give you a bit of insight
>>> into why there seems to be more of a push by Christians to get Christians
>>> involved in political issues - not to take over or dictate, but to protect
>>> the freedom we are also supposed to be allowed. There are other examples of
>>> how this trend is progressing, but I have to get back to work. ;-)
>>>
>>> The thing is, most Christians believe it is coming. The question is, who
>>> wants to be on the side that one day tells me personally that I and my
>>&g
