Subject: DOuble Checking PUAD Plate sample Slide values
Posted by Cujjo on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 04:26:54 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

annarbornews/2007/09/dingell_global_warming_may_req.h" target="_blank">
http://blog.mlive.com/annarbornews/2007/09/dingell_global_wa rming_may_req.h
>>>> tml
>>>>>> This is the biggest load of disingenuous hubris I've seen in a while.
>>>> More
>>>>>> hypocracy. Whether you get it or not, your

Subject: Re: DOuble Checking PUAD Plate sample Slide values
Posted by Phil Aiken on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 14:03:15 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

t;
> Great.
>
> To conserve what we have we need to take into account any evidence that
> shows we may have a problem.
>
> BTW climate change and conservation are not a partisan issues, in my
> view. At the very least, most people don't want to screw up the area
> where they live, no matter their politics.
>
>
> > Am I buying
> > in to the liberal's sin tax plan their trying to convince the dummies to
> > start paying to save the world, NO WAY!
>
> That's fine. But don't confuse any perceived conspiracies with ongoing
> climate science.
>
> You can promote your political views without attacking climate
> scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.
>
>

Subject: Re: DOuble Checking PUAD Plate sample Slide values
Posted by john Macy[2] on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 15:28:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

> > I'm I buying in the this trilateralist
> > plan to shift more money out of the USA, no way!

>
> That's fine. But again you can promote your political views without
> attacking climate scientists or dismissing scientific conclusions.
>
>
> > Back in the 70's, the scientist
> > that started this global warming stuff, was predicting that we would be
in
> > an ice age right now.
>
> Who are you talking about?
>
>
> > You can post all of the contrived research you, it won't change the
truth.
> > Choose to believe what you want, man made global warming has not been
proven.
>
> If someone is exploiting scientific data in a partisan way to push a
> certain point of view you happen to disagree with, then fine, argue with
> them.
>
> But don't pretend the data itself doesn't exist. There is a lot of
> peer-reviewed research out there, and it isn't "contrived."
>
> If the only way to "prove" your point is to continue a risky worldwide
> experiment until we possibly screw up the planet for future generations,
> then your proof is too late to

Subject: Re: DOuble Checking PUAD Plate sample Slide values
Posted by Cujjo on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 16:22:51 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

st
been
> >>>>>> insulted and be assured that what this is really all about it
preparing
> >>>>> you
> >>>>>> to be bent over as consumption falls. Expect more of this BS as gas
> > usage
> >>>>>> goes down and therefore the tax revenue that a gallon of gas
generates
> >>> is
> >>>>>> proportionately reduced. To make up for the revenue shortfall,
we're
> >>>> going
> >>>>>> to get taxed into oblivion.....and I was even sorta' thinking

about
> >>>>>> voting for a Democrat for president. The new forumla for the
American
> >>>>>> economy is to tax businesses more so that they will continue to go
> >>>> elsewhere
> >>>>>> while screwing the workers who will be losing their jobs.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Gimme some of that.
&g

Subject: Re: DOuble Checking PUAD Plate sample Slide values
Posted by Cujjo on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 16:26:42 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

t; >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >thanks bill. people will believe what they CAN believe more than what
they're told to believe.. hell, i was raised catholic...school...the
hole shot and if that didn't work i guess nothing will. according to
all religious soothsayers "if you don't believe the way we/i do you
are going to hell" so i guess everyone is.

On Fri, 21 Mar 2008 15:39:07 -0400, Bill L <bill@billlorentzen.com>
wrote:

>Steve, I don't think Rick gives a hoot about the story. He is talking
>about his ideas on god man, trees and swingin' cats. You're prolly not
>going to get any traction on that Babylonian story.
>
>
>Steve Cox wrote:
>> Rick, I HIGHLY reccomend you crack the book open and read the text for yourself.
>> You keep coming up with all these goofy things about a tree being a tree
>> and swinging a cat around... Man... that's all just foolishness. Please,
>> read it first-THEN bring on the comments. Right now all you are doing is
>> "babelling" about something you don't know anything about. Read the text....
>> It WAS all about all the Babylonians speaking Babalonian. Not a hard concept
>> to understand. God wanted to break their power of agreement so he confused
>> the language and no-one understood each other and the progress of the tower
>> seeced. Why are you fighting such a simple, well known, taught to millions,
>> established story? You are fortunate that there are (just assuming guys)a
>> handful of Believers on this Forum who (you may as well face it) won't back
>> down from the Truth?
>>
>> rick <