

---

Subject: Re: Why not Protools?

Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Sat, 17 Feb 2007 22:50:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

e.com/macosx/bootcamp/" target="\_blank">http://www.apple.com/macosx/bootcamp/

>>

>>

>

>Deej, here is an article you might want to read that came out today.

I understand the price thing being an issue.

[http://www.computerworld.com/action/article.do?command=viewArticleBasic&articleId=9014686&intsrc=hm\\_ts\\_head](http://www.computerworld.com/action/article.do?command=viewArticleBasic&articleId=9014686&intsrc=hm_ts_head)

"DJ" <[www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com](http://www.aarrrrggghh!!!.com)> wrote:

>I was just spec'ing out one of these.Macs on Apple's website with dual >2.66GHz Xeon coreduo CPU's, two 500G backup HD's and 4G RAM. (how they can

>justify charging over \$1k for 4 x 1G 667MHZ ECC RAM stick

---

---

Subject: Why not Protools?

Posted by [Dave Geoghegan](#) on Sat, 17 Feb 2007 23:32:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

64@hotmail.com" target="\_blank">excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>> news:460b3fd0\$1@linux...

>>>

>>>

---

---

Subject: Re: Why not Protools?

Posted by [TC](#) on Sun, 18 Feb 2007 00:27:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

p their sleeve.

Thanks for all the Mac info BTW. I can see how the cost could be lowered a bit by going with some 3rd party hardware. If I was logic user, I'd be turning flips thinking about all the new goodies that are in the works.

;o)

"James McCloskey" <[excelsm@hotmail.com](mailto:excelsm@hotmail.com)> wrote in message news:460b6c63\$1@linux...

>

---

> "DJ" <www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com> wrote:  
>>I was just spec'ing out one of these.Macs on Apple's website with dual  
>>2.66GHz Xeon coreduo CPU's, two 500G backup HD's and 4G RAM. (how they can  
>  
>>justify charging over \$1k for 4

---

---

Subject: Re: Why not Protools?  
Posted by [chuck duffy](#) on Sun, 18 Feb 2007 01:14:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

s boggles the mind)  
>  
>Total price from Apple was \$4545.00 (without adding Applecare which I would  
  
>definitely want to do given some of the experiences I and others here have  
  
>had with Macs so we're looking at another \$249.00 for a total of \$4794.00).  
>  
>I just spec'ed the same basic computer componentry for building a DIY PC  
  
>from Newegg for \$2659.90 and this would include Vista Ultimate. That's a  
  
>difference of \$2135.00. For less than this \$2k difference added to the PC  
  
>you could upgrade to d

---

---

Subject: Re: Why not Protools?  
Posted by [Sarah](#) on Sun, 18 Feb 2007 12:49:06 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

> wrote:<BR>>><BR>>>>I'm afraid they =  
>do... it=20  
  
> <BR>>>>Sorry Steve, =  
  
> =  
>=3D<BR>>one.<BR>>>><BR>>>>David.<BR&g=  
  
>=20  
> wrote:<BR>>>>> Roland does not make a mouse.. They =  
>use a=20  
  
> mouse..<BR>>>><BR>>>>>=20

> =  
><BR>>><BR>>><BR>><BR></BLOC=  
>KQUOTE><BR>><DIV><FONT=20  
> size=3D3D2><BR><BR>I choose Polesoft Lockspam to =  
>fight spam,=20  
> =  
>=3D<BR>>and=3D20<BR>>you

---

---

Subject: Re: Why not Protools?  
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Sun, 18 Feb 2007 14:22:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

using the MacOS just isn't worth \$2k  
+  
>>>> to me so the Mac pricing still doesn't come close to anything I would  
  
>>>> want to get involved with.....even if it can run Windows  
>>>>  
>>>> ;o)  
>>>>  
>>>> Deej  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> "DJ" <[www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com](http://www.aarrrrggghhh!!!.com)> wrote in message news:460b4556@linux...  
>>>>> James,  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Has Apple implemented the \*right click\* feature in OSX so that a two  
  
>>>>> button (or more) mouse can

---

---

Subject: Re: Why not Protools?  
Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Sun, 18 Feb 2007 17:48:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

>>>> the DA7 right in the sweet spot... the middle.  
>>>>  
>>>> "Neil" <[IOUOIU@OIU.com](mailto:IOUOIU@OIU.com)> wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>> "Steve Cox" <[stevec1@charter.net](mailto:stevec1@charter.net)> wrote:  
>>>>>>



[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

target="\_blank">cygnus\_nospam@ctgonline.org> wrote:

>

>>On Wed, 28 Mar 2007 05:46:57 -0400, rick <parnell68@hotmail.com>

>>wrote:

>>

>>>i'd be happier if you were a girl but at my age (and 34 years of

>>>marriage)...ewww...never mind.

---

---

Subject: Re: Why not Protools?

Posted by [Deej \[4\]](#) on Sun, 18 Feb 2007 18:13:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

BLKw6hGPO5QLL6EOqh

nhOZEbNbCGJkildQERexQAsMtgnTHI4QgFE4hzRIA3MQAwZ4Ix/oJffiNgmo  
BSilAgGkhE1BDEiJoSDEPKYhiiOcb7Q4E6lwmqq4gyE7PSNDMiSDgMmyhhXw  
R8iSPS7Mih8glNszmtwbCi9jikmhlUqJuliTOlqrJ8zglOS7p//K2AzP8Dg8  
jJU5Y5yDmhyFsj5A5EMrQkRC0yJCFBbjlA4EKL/pmi7zSxHpaZYpmAKe64A3  
ciNMhJ3xmg/zmo/7W5f02r9aPErFk4ObiAlj0jhKsLpW651a5LZRNMUdAAWu  
kwMRsDVepJgPMT8B6lVDYxETFJHqmZ4SmRFfTMZhcxhmTDaM+KphnKqMSQhe  
4IVzqAS9rIQQEAMo8a8dqIU98AKGGwZyNMdNKaa/yoMfTAqnmMcijlEjRjQn  
elo/uQrao70c4AlpalF81Ed+HAFrGE1+6wcNAos6kYLRExsdclck3II5eKEX  
UkedgBSHFAzDiEgdQowe8qFSeQM4LBX/N6OMN/u43qKV3/LD4SJJXRHJXGEu  
8UNEK7KcmKuoQISNQHSBmAMPDLIJbNBj+ZAP2LnE2BIPdpmpOdC6pVNABUTA  
pbsJIWCMwGnFHpCDLJAPOgKqlyLKmTJFEfgvrcyEKSgRtiOZvksRCwyRtTvL  
uSyRC8M7tDsRBPuQZryeEISkqsoYNcDLv

---

---

Subject: Re: Why not Protools?

Posted by [gene lennon](#) on Sun, 18 Feb 2007 19:14:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

MzLSkgDAIOJR0DAWsiPTigMc0wM

3uyhyxOTpnGKojGaqSi41oyGp/mGP5maOeEKP7A3M8iFcVA9M+jHfTMybSqB  
HJCC0wS4tzgGz3O3GNgDtvEyUEDDyntlMpPIH6KEvaGni8y4OSTOOYSzglq+  
jzQo55Qc4flc/y/KvuOKOYiCzi3yovg xv5e8lbULxODoorSagkBwnTf6hHBB  
L/RaF0M9z9zRHf8ooFlzApnKAhdjhD7aElbkgwCMAquLHRX4BCzonaAaTwvA  
Agvoz1rAKQN5kJvMDgQjGeY4u7FDu+qRGGETRrYrH+1ZiKZyERyRO7ibqmo0  
h0ogBL1chGucgoAZoC2QgDI4IGHoAXJ8AwqA1nMkjCptmleYx80ruCsTrAkq  
gAr4AgzSCinwA024t32jLHQdzXONvazpCg1K0m6yCsSahwrosiAMQsZMR4dU  
Q0vRTUzhAwo4R1ZQRcYwszQTTszwDH96AOPMQ+QcueW8s+sDli6iHP/xsxyz  
LMTkKA7yml0fU

---

---

Subject: Re: Why not Protools?



http://alesis.com/product.php?id=106

James

"TCB" <nobody@ishere.com> wrote:

>  
>Has anyone tried one of these? I'm about to drop a lot more money on a moving  
>fader control box. A lot more.

>  
>TCB

>  
>"James McCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>  
>> ) Next they will have fruit flavors!

>>  
>>http://www.behringer.com/BCF2000-WH/index.cfm?lang=eng

>Hi Thad,

Do you need one that is programmable?

If not then the Mackie is the one everyone copies and it still has the best integration with the DAW stuff.

The only other one I've seen that is well made and programmable is the Mixed Logic unit but it is a huge monster.

The controllers that are built into the audio interfaces and digital mixers do not go deep enough for me to think they are worth while. And the vast majority of the other control surface units all use the Mackie Control format so why not just get the real thing? Also having no drivers to install being able to run longer cable runs with MIDI is also good.

Unless I can address every part a channel I might as well use a mouse.  
The mackie control com

---

Subject: Re: Why not Protools?

Posted by [Chris Latham](#) on Mon, 19 Feb 2007 02:30:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

cCloskey" <excelsm@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>  
>> ) Next they will have fruit flavors!

>>