

Subject: Build your own pedal..
Posted by [DC](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 04:14:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

```
> >>>>>>>>> "Dedric Terry" <dterry@keyofd.net> wrote in message
> >>>>>>>>> news:C1325038.3
```

Subject: Re: Build your own pedal..
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 15:17:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sony Dynamics and Limiter
Massey Limiter =
(Pro tools=20
only) - Excellent! And cheap.
The optional limiter for SawStudio (I =
forget=20
the name)
Waves L3 Multimaximizer (gets crunchy but is very =
"Radio")
UAD=20
Fairchild (for effect only)

A step down but usable are:
PSP=20
MasterComp, Voxengo, and Roger Nichols Finis
Gene</BLOCKQUOTE>
<DIV>

I choose Polesoft Lockspam to fight spam, =
and=20
you?
<A=20
href=3D"http://www.polesoft.com/refer.html">

Subject: Re: Build your own pedal..
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 15:36:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

ank">http://www.polesoft.com/refer=

..html </DIV></BODY ></HTML>

-----= NextPart 000 0017 01C6DC03.D1AA9D70--This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

```
-----=_NextPart_000_00D7_01C6DBF1.B63D26A0
Content-Type: text/plain;
  charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
```

Well....if I get something going with this, I'll let you know. I'm not =
sure exactly what happened with the AES thing at this point. All I can =
say is that the intent was certainly there and sincere on everyone's =
part, but for reasons that are still unknown to me, this has been put on =
hold indefinitely. I guess I'll take resp

Subject: Re: Build your own pedal..
Posted by [DC](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 22:48:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

depends on faith that one or
> more
> > >>>>
> > >>>>>>> deities (good and sometimes bad) exist, that their associated
> > >>>>>>> stories
> > >>>>>>> actually occurred, and often, that there is some sort of
> afterlife.
> > >>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>> However, religious people believe in a variety of different
> > >>>>>>> deities.
> > >>>>>>> Even those who believe in the same deity disagree, sometimes
> > >>>>>>> violently,
> > >>>>>>> about the nature of their deity. Religions sometimes even
> disagree
> > >>>> about
> > >>>>>>> the nature of reality. If you want to base morality strictly
on
> > >>>>>&

Subject: Re: Build your own pedal..
Posted by [Bill L](#) on Sat, 19 Aug 2006 23:10:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> > >>>>>>> So yes, you can have a moral system, one that BTW protects the
> > >>>>>>> freedom
> > >>>>>>> to practice religious beliefs (or not), without basing it on
any
> > >> one
> > >>>>>>> religion. And it can protect all religions better than a
system
> > >>>>>>> based

Subject: Re: Build your own pedal..
Posted by [dc\[3\]](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 03:41:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

inions and still care enough to
consider
> > >>>>>>> insulting,
> > >>>>>>> belittling, slandering and hating one another an intolerable
> > >>>>>>> concept;
> > >>>>>>> and 2)

> > >>>>>>> in all likelihood agree that peace and compassion completely
> trump
> > >>> greed
> > >>>>>> and
> > >>>>>>> power in importance to life and survival together on this
> planet.
> > >>>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>> Regards,
> > >>>>>>>> Dedric
> > >>>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>> On 9/17/06 7:12 PM, in article 450df091@lin

Subject: Re: Build your own pedal..
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Sun, 20 Aug 2006 17:43:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>>>
>> >>>> By goading the USA into overreacting it helps them grow, raise money
>> > and
>> >>>> convince others to actually see us as evil and act accordingly. It
>> >>>> works, we keep falling for it. OTOH, for those here with a declared
>> >>>> interest in emptying the US treasury, it's likewise beneficial to
>have
>> >>>> the specter of an enemy out there. Fear sells.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> We have yet to actually declare war on anyone in this whole mess.
How
>> > do
>> >>>> you declare war on a tactic?
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Forced conversion and head taxes would go over like a lead balloon
in
>> >>>> the USA. Not gonna happen. We're far more sectarian than, say, Iraq.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Responsible leadership is needed in the world to calm extremist
>> >>>> tendencies on all sides and help rational people who are trying to
>get
>> >>>> by in worsening times. At the same time we need to be, and are
>> >>>> attempting to be, vigilant against any self righteous group with
>> >>>> fantasies of violence in the USA.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Any rush to some sort of "holy war" is irrational. There is nothing
>> > holy
>> >>>> about war.

Subject: Re: Build your own pedal..

Posted by [Tom Bruhl](#) on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 07:14:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

X...

> >

> > For most of the Clinton years the balance of power was divided between

> > both parties. Again, there is plenty of finger pointing to go around.

> > It's easy enough in hindsight to criticize the previous administration

> > on a number of counts.

> >

> > And in retrospect, those Dems made a mistake trusting Bush with that

> > vote. OTOH, it was a moment of unity.

> >

> > Flash forward. Today all branches of national government are controlled

> > by one party. It doesn't bother me if you want to point blame at some of

> > the policies under Clinton. But again, merely pointing fingers backwards

> > in no way excuses the mistakes and failures of the current government.

> >

> > They walked in stating in clear terms that they felt the previous

> > government was wrong about almost everything, and then fell flat

> > overall, domestically and internationally.

> >

> > Looking at both governments, we can do better. We must do better.

> > At some point, and we're coming up on six years, the buck stops with

> > those in charge now.

> >

> > Cheers,

> > -Jamie

> > <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>

> >

> >

> >

> > DJ wrote:

> > > The Dems you mentioned authorized the military attack on Iraq as a last

> > > resort and I don't believe they were satisfied it was done as such.

> > >

> > > How convenient for them when it was the intelligence service that they

> > > created over 8 years of hard work that they now attempt to slag and

> > > blame

> > > for their decisions so they can vote for the war before they vote

> > > against

> > > it.

> > >

> > > "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message

news:450f8aec@linux...

> > >> There is plenty of finger pointing to go around. No doubt specific

> > >> things could have been handled better under the previous government.

> > >
> > >> The transition of power between the previous and current governments
> was
> > >> pretty rocky, and a "not invented here" syndrome may have doomed the
> > >> hand-off of some al-Qaida related work that was in progress.
> > >>
> > >> In any case, no government gets a pass by blaming the previous
> > >> government. The current government, for example, failed to follow
> > >> through and prioritize the al-Qaida threat ahead of 9/11 and failed
to
> > >> prevent 9/11; failed to find and capture Bin Laden in Afghanistan;
> > >> failed to overcome Taliban control of large areas of Afghanistan;
> > >> allowed record drug production to reestablish itself there; failed to
> > >> plan for post Iraq invasion problems predicted by their own state
> > >> department; and they have continually exploited the "war on
terrorism"
> > >> for domestic political ends.
> > >>
> > >> You assert the WMDs were there. However Bush's chief inspector, and
> Bush
> > >> himself, say they weren't there after all. It was a bluff. One of the
> > >> Iraqi expatriate promoters of the bluff got the ear of our
government,
> > >> was believed, and, last I heard, had parlayed it into a position of
> > >> power in Iraq after the invasion.
> > >>
> > >> The Dems you mentioned authorized the military attack on Iraq as a
last
> > >> resort and I don't believe they were satisfied it was done as such.
> > >>
> > >> Preemptive invasion is new as part of the "Bush (Jr.) Doctrine."
> > >>
> > >> Cheers,
> > >> -Jamie
> > >> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> DJ wrote:
> > >>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> news:450f3862@linux...
> > >>>> Neither, actually. And what we ought to do would depend on the
nature
> > > of
> > >>> the threat.
> > >>> Agreed.
> > >>>
> > >>>> We might want to start with recommendations published in 1999, well
> > >>>> before the 9/11 attack.

> > >>>>
> > >>>> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/National_Commission_on_Terrorism
> > >>>>
> > >>>> We can disagree about whether our current policy is one of
> overreacting
> > >>>> or not. We can probably really disagree about the newly minted
> > >>>> preemptive attack policy. :^)
> > >>>> I don't think there is any newly minted attack policy. There has
> always
> > > been
> > >>> the *clear and present danger* prerogative but it needs to be based
on
> > >>> accurate intelligence. Had this been available, then I doubt that
> Bush,
> > >>> Kerry, Kennedy Pelosi and the rest would have authorized the
invasion
> of
> > >>> Iraq, or perhaps they would have been able to find the WMD's before
> they
> > >>> were shipped out of the country or hidden. I just find it hard to
> > > stomach
> > >>> the hypocrisy of the democrats whose policies neutered our
> intelligence
> > >>> services in the '90's when they turn around and blame Bush for the
> > > decisions
> > >>> made based on the intelligence blunders they created in the first
> place.
> > >>>>
> > >>>> Regards,
> > >>>>
> > >>>> DeeJ
> > >>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>> Cheers,
> > >>>> -Jamie
> > >>>> <http://www.JamieKrutz.com>
> > >>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>> DJ wrote:
> > >>>>> I don't see us overreacting Jamie. However, if there is indication
> of
> > >>>> some
> > >>>>> sort of major threat, do we run and tell the NY times or do we
blow
> > > the
> > >>>>> threat away before it pays us a visit?
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>

> > >>>> "Jamie K" <Meta@Dimensional.com> wrote in message
> > > news:450f0b12@linux...
> > >>>>> Of course they came out with a blustery ultimatum. That's not
news,
> > >>> it's
> > >>>>> an old pattern. It works for them to sit back and suggest that
> others
> > >>> do
> > >>>>> something via terror-grams such as this. If it makes you afraid
as
> > >>> well,
> > >>>>> my guess is they would see that as a bonus.
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>> By goading the USA into overreacting it helps them grow, raise
> money
> > >>> and
> > >>>>> convince others to actually see us as evil and act accordingly.
It
> > >>>>> works, we keep falling for it. OTOH, for those here with a
declared
> > >>>>> interest in emptying the US treasury, it's likewise beneficial to
> > > have
> > >>>>> the specter of an enemy out there. Fear sells.
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>> We have yet to actually declare war on anyone in this whole mess.
> How
> > >>> do
> > >>>>> you declare war on a tactic?
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>> Forced conversion and head taxes would go over like a lead
balloon
> in
> > >>&g

Subject: Re: Build your own pedal..
Posted by [Aaron Allen](#) on Wed, 23 Aug 2006 02:04:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

;;=
>>>> >in message=20
>>>> > <A href=3D"news:4510129a\$

Subject: Re: Build your own pedal..
Posted by [DC](#) on Wed, 23 Aug 2006 04:27:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

br />

> >

>

>I thought folks down under were notoriously reluctant to allow emigration.

Jimmy

"Sarah" <sarahjane@sarahtonin.com> wrote in message news:4510ead4@linux...

> A friend of mine moved to Dunedin NZ and loves
